

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,952 | | 08/04/2003 | Yasushi Kinoshita | 029650-143 4467 | |
| 21839 | 7590 | 12/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | ERSOLL PC | MIGGINS, MICHAEL C | | |
| (INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/632,952 | KINOSHITA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Michael C. Miggins | 1772 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | • | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended. | Il be entered and an explanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ut before an on the data of filling a N | ation of Annual will not be entored | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (P10/56/06 or P10-1449) Paper I | | | | | | |
| | | Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 7, line 23 through page 8, line 3, Chen discloses applying fibers around a mandrel in an alpha angled direction then slowly changing to a beta direction, the angles are determined by rotation and puller speeds and that an angle can be parallel to the axis. Such a disclosure clearly shows that the fiber orientation is variable. It has been found that finding the workable or optimum range for a result effective variable is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art absent clear and convincing evidence of an unpexpected result (MPEP 2144).